Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/364,370	CHEUNG, TOM THUAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andy Ho	2194	
All Participants:	Status of Application	1:	
(1) <u>Andy Ho</u> .	(3)		
(2) Ruthleen E. Uy, Reg. No. 51,361.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 22 January 2007	Time:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		. *	
Part I.			1
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A		•	
Claims discussed:			
1, 11 and 21		•	
Prior art documents discussed: 6,710,786	•	,	
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Constitution Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED	:
Part III.		,	
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa directly resulted in the allowance of the applicatio of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sur</li> </ul>	n. The examiner will provide a grate record of the substance of	written summary of of the interview, since	the substance
	WILL	IAM THOMSON RY PATENT EXAMIN	VER
	20, <u>-</u>		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	olicant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if app	propriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner advised the applicant that the claims are in condition for allowance with the condition of adding specific limitations into claims 1, 11, 21 and correcting 101 issue in claim 21. The applicant agreed with the suggestion and authorized the examiner to make the changes in an examiner's amendment.